Completing an EIA is the simplest way to demonstrate that the Council has considered the equality impacts of its decisions and it reduces the risk of legal challenge. ElAs should be carried out at the earliest stages of policy development or a service review, and then updated as the policy or review develops. ElAs must be undertaken when it is possible for the findings to inform the final decision.

## SECTION 1:

## What are you analysing, What is the policy/project/activity/strategy looking to achieve?

Who is it intended to benefit? Are any specific groups targeted by this decision?
What results are intended?
This equalities impact assessment (EIA) seeks to assess the impact of developing the current Children's Centres in Slough into Family Hub model for children and young people 0-19 ( 25 for SEND) across Slough. This will allow us to develop a multi-agency vision and the underlying principles for the Family Hubs, expanding on the current delivery model which focuses on children 0-5years.

The plan aims to improve outcomes for our most vulnerable children and young people by addressing inequality and improving access to services for all children and young people.

The EIA will look at specifically working with our partners on the co design and co production while reviewing existing services already offered within the centres for children aged 0-5 and the functions of these, although the specific details of how this will work in principle in Slough have not yet been developed, it is expected to strengthen the whole family approach.

Slough has 3 Children's Centres operating across the borough with 2 spoke centres delivering early years provision, the primary focus being on children aged 0-5years.

A Children's Centre is defined in the Children Act 2006 as a place or a group of places: which is managed by or on behalf of, or under arrangements with, the local authority with a view to securing that early childhood services in the local authority's area are made available in an integrated way; through which early childhood services are made available (either by providing the services on site, or by providing advice and assistance on gaining access to services elsewhere); and at which activities for young children are provided.

The core statutory function of Children's Centres is:

- To improve outcomes for young children and their families and reduce inequalities between families in greatest need and their peers in:
- child development and school readiness,
- parenting aspirations and parenting skills; and
- child and family health and life chances.
- 

Family Hubs provide families with a single access point to integrated family support services for early help with social, emotional and physical and financial needs. Each Family Hub is bespoke to the community while incorporating three key delivery principles: access, connection and relationships.

Reviews of the current delivery model prior to and post consultation around children's centres and national developments in relation to outcomes of Family Hubs are the drivers.

It is anticipated that all disadvantaged groups including those with protected characteristics within the target demographic of $0-19$ year olds and up to 25 year olds with special educational needs and disabilities SEND and their families will benefit by the changes referenced in the options. This is because the modified service will seek to improve the identification and targeting of family support to those most in need with a view to improving outcomes for young children and their families and reducing inequalities between families in greatest need and their peers whilst also streamlining aspects of services currently provided.

## Expected Outcomes

A strengthened focus on children and young people who most need support through early intervention, it is expected that this will increase family resilience and reduce the need for statutory involvement, this model should reduce the time that vulnerable families need support wait for a service.

The local community are supported to develop universal provision by receiving advise and guidance in how to deliver effective services for children, young people and their families.

Professional work together through colocation, data sharing, and a common approach to families only having to tell their story once, the service is more efficient and families will get effective support in their community.

A best start for life offer is co-designed with public health,

| Details of the lead person <br> completing the screening/EIA | (i) Full Name: Neil Hoskinson <br> (ii) Position: Associate Director for Education and Inclusion <br> (iii) Unit: Education and inclusion <br> (iii) Contact Details: neil.hoskinson@slough.gov.uk |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date sent to Finance |  |
| Version number and date of <br> update | V1.0 |

## SECTION 2: Do you need to complete a full Equality Impact Assessment (EIA)?

Not all proposals will require a full EIA, the assessment of impacts should be proportionate to the nature of the project/policy in question and its likely impact. To decide on the level of detail of the assessment required consider the potential impact on persons with protected characteristics.

## 2.1

Please provide an overview of who uses/will use your service or facility and identify who are likely to be impacted by the proposal.

- If you do not formally collect data about a particular group then use the results of local surveys or consultations, census data, national trends, or anecdotal evidence (indicate where this is the case). Please attempt to complete all boxes.
- Consider whether there is a need to consult stakeholders and the public, including members of protected groups, to gather information on potential impacts of the proposal.

The changes being considered relate to the development of a new Children's Centre delivery model. The new model aims to benefit the 19,873 households with dependent children in the borough with a particular focus on families with 12,415 children aged 0-4.

| How many people use the service currently? What is this as a \% of Slough's population? | There are a total of 5,709 users of services in Children's Centres from April 2022 to April 2023. <br> 1,558 of the users are in childcare provision and 4,151 have used a Children Centre for wider services at least once. <br> This is approximately $3.6 \%$ of the total population. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | Sex <br>  <br> Male <br> Female <br> Not known <br> Gender identity <br> The service does not hold dat there was no data included in | $\|c\|$ <br> Total <br> 2,296 <br> 3,370 <br> 43 <br> a on the <br> the EIA <br> Gend <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ <br> Tota <br> 107,5 <br> 1,08 <br> 10,351 |  | 2021 C  <br> Total  <br> 78,495  <br> 80,005  <br> -  <br> identity | $\%$ <br> $49.5 \%$ <br> $50.5 \%$ <br> - <br> f its users, therefore |
| Race | Any Other Ethnic Group Any Other Mixed Background Asian - Any Other Asian Backgrou |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 130 \\ 55 \\ 113 \end{array}$ |


| Asian or Asian British - Bangladeshi | 44 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Asian or Asian British - Indian | 1050 |
| Asian or Asian British - Other | 88 |
| Asian or Asian British - Pakistani | 1290 |
| Asian or Asian British - Sikh | 174 |
| Asian Pakistani | 3 |
| Black - Any Other Black Background | 24 |
| Black or Black British - African | 203 |
| Black or Black British - Caribbean | 54 |
| Chinese | 15 |
| Gypsy / Roma | 4 |
| Mixed Heritage White/Asian - Bangladeshi | 1 |
| Mixed Heritage White/Asian - Indian | 19 |
| Mixed Heritage White/Asian - Other | 11 |
| Mixed Heritage White/Asian - Pakistani | 26 |
| Mixed Heritage White/Black - African | 22 |
| Mixed Heritage White/Black - Caribbean | 50 |
| Mixed Heritage White/Black - Other | 6 |
| Traveller of Irish Heritage | 4 |
| White - Any Other White Background | 459 |
| White and Asian | 60 |
| White and Black African | 32 |
| White and Black Caribbean | 44 |
| White British | 689 |
| White European | 173 |
| White Irish | 13 |
| White Other | 41 |
| White/Black Caribbean | 210 |
| Not Known |  |

## Ethnicity

The proportion of users from different ethnic groups in the EIA mostly aligns with the 2021 Census, but the EIA had a higher representation of Asian ethnic groups and a lower proportion of White ethnic groups.

Broad ethnicity

| Ethnicity | EIA |  | 2021 Census |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | $\%$ | Total | $\%$ |
| White: <br> Total | 1,513 | $26.5 \%$ | 57,134 | $36.0 \%$ |
| Mixed: <br> Total | 190 | $3.3 \%$ | 6,311 | $4.0 \%$ |
| Asian or <br> Asian <br> British: <br> Total | 2,762 | $48.4 \%$ | 74,093 | $46.7 \%$ |
| Black or <br> Black | 281 | $4.9 \%$ | 11,992 | $7.6 \%$ |




## Marriage and civil partnership

The service does not hold comprehensive data on the marital or civil partnership status of its users, therefore there was no data included in the EIA.
.Census showed that 19\% of households in Slough with dependent children (aged 0-17) were single parent families. Therefore, this lower representation in the survey is to be expected. The proportion of cohabiting respondents in the survey also aligns with the $8 \%$ of co-habiting families with dependent children in the 2021 Census.

Marital status

| Marital status | 2021 Census |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | \% |
| Single | 41,898 | $35.2 \%$ |
| Married | 60,001 | $50.4 \%$ |
| Co-habiting | - | - |


| Civil <br> Partnership | 158 | $0.1 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Separated | 2,925 | $2.5 \%$ |
| Divorced | 8,799 | $7.4 \%$ |
| Widowed | 5,151 | $4.3 \%$ |
| Not known | - | - |

## Pregnancy and maternity

The service does not hold comprehensive data on the pregnancy and maternity status of its users, therefore there was no data in the EIA. The 2021 Census data also did not include data on pregnancy or maternity.

## Deprivation

Map of the proposed centres remaining open and developing into a Family Hub (as measured by the Index of Multiple Deprivation).

2.2 Are there any groups with protected characteristic that are overrepresented in the monitoring information relative to their size of the population? If so, this could indicate that the proposal may have a disproportionate impact on this group even if it is a universal service.

The current model provides services for children 0-5 and their families only. If approved, the model ultimately aims to provide the basis from which a wider family network and hub model is developed offering support for children and young people aged 0 - 19 and up to 25 for young people with SEND. Given the nature of the proposed development, it is anticipated that the new model will have a positive, future impact from an equality's perspective including benefits from those with protected characteristics in general and specifically in terms of age, disability, race and sex.

## Age

Slough has an overall population of 158,500 (Census 2021).
Slough's population is significantly younger than England's profile with Slough's average age at 34, compared to 41 for the South-

|  | East and 40 for England. Slough has the second highest proportion of children aged 15 or under in England and Wales, behind only Barking and Dagenham, with $25 \%$ of the population of Slough are aged under 16. <br> There are currently $2,6720-5 s$ recorded as using the service between Apr-22 and Apr-23. This represents $45 \%$ of total users compared to $0-5 \mathrm{~s}$ making up $9.1 \%$ of the population whole. This is a comparative over-representation but one you would expect given the nature of the service. There are 1,547 service users over the age of 20 recorded for the same period. |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | There are now 52,423 households in Slough containing at least one person. |
|  | Slough has a mean household size of 3 people per household and is the largest mean household size in England and Wales. The mean for England and Wales is 2.4. |
|  | Slough is the third most densely populated LA in the South-East, with 4,871 usual residents per square kilometre ( 48.7 per hectare). This is the equivalent of around 35 people living on each football pitch-sized area of land, compared to an average of just 3 across England. |
|  | There are 54,116 occupied dwellings in Slough of which 23,156 $(43 \%)$ are households with dependent children. |
|  | Monitoring information therefore suggests that there is disproportionately high representation of $0-5$-year-olds which is in keeping with the terms of reference of the service. |
|  | Disability <br> A total of 886 children under 5 have been identified as having a special educational need or disability (SEND) according to the SEND Summer Survey 2021. This represents $0.6 \%$ of the total population of Slough. |
|  | Gender reassignment <br> The services does not hold data on gender reassignment or gender self-identification. |
|  | Marriage and civil partnership The service does not hold comprehensive data on the marital or civil partnership status of its users. |
|  | Pregnancy and maternity <br> The service does not hold comprehensive data on the pregnancy and maternity status of its users. This information is held by the partner Maternity Service. |
|  | Race |



## Sex

## Slough population: 158,500



Service monitoring information shows that there is over representation of female. users when compared to overall population.

## Sexual orientation

Census 2021

| Sexual Orientation | Slough | England |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Count | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| Straight or Heterosexual | 104,943 | $88.2 \%$ | $89.4 \%$ |
| LGB+ orientation (total) | 2,313 | $1.9 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ |
| Gay or Lesbian | 806 | $0.7 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| Bisexual | 1,095 | $0.9 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |
| Pansexual | 335 | $0.3 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Asexual | 29 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| Queer | 7 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| All other sexual orientations | 41 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Not answered | 11,677 | $9.8 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |

Service monitoring information is does not include the sexual orientation of service users.

### 2.3 Are there any groups with

 protected characteristics that are underrepresented in the monitoring information relative to their size of the population? If so, this could indicate that the service may not be accessible to all groups or there may be some form of director indirect discrimination occurring.

## Race

The following information provides a summary of the broad ethnic groups resident in Slough, population numbers and percentages

Category
Asian/Asian British
Black/African/Caribbean/Black
British
Mixed/multiple ethnic groups
Other ethnic group
White
Arab ethnic groups

Service monitoring information suggests that children and children and families white and black communities are under-represented as service users.
2.4

Does the project, policy or proposal have the potential to disproportionately impact on people with a protected characteristic? If so, is the impact positive or negative?

|  | None | Positive | Negative | Not sure |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Men or <br> women | $\square$ | $\boxed{ }$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| People of a <br> particular <br> race or <br> ethnicity <br> (including <br> refugees, <br> asylum <br> seekers, <br> migrants and <br> gypsies and <br> travellers) | $\square$ | $\boxed{ }$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| Disabled <br> people <br> (consider <br> different <br> types of <br> physical, <br> learning or |  |  |  |  |

[^0]| mental <br> disabilities) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| People of <br> particular <br> sexual <br> orientation/s | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| People in <br> particular <br> age groups <br> (consider in <br> particular <br> children, <br> under 21s <br> and over <br> 65s) |  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| People who <br> are intending <br> to undergo, <br> are <br> undergoing, <br> or have <br> undergone a <br> process or <br> part of a <br> process of <br> gender <br> reassignment |  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| lmpact due <br> to <br> pregnancy/ <br> maternity |  |  |  |  |
| People of <br> particular <br> faiths and <br> beliefs |  | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| People on <br> low incomes |  |  |  |  |

If any of the answers to the questions above is, "negative" or "unclear" you will need to undertake a detailed impact assessment.

| 2.5 | Based on your responses, should a full, detailed EIA be carried out on the <br> project, policy or proposal |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Yes No $x$ |

Update following Consultation Responses:
The following issues were raised during the consultation that relate to equalities considerations:

1. Concerns about access to services and continuity of support/advice for children with special educational needs. The need to ensure availability of and access to specialist childcare places and referrals to help.
2. Concerns around access to centres regarding travel times/access to public services and the future location of services.
3. Specific support for children and parents of children under 1 years of age and the availability of parents to access a centre rather than only have the option of home visits.
4. The need for accessible safe places for vulnerable women to access further support and services away from the home.

## SECTION 3: ASSESSING THE IMPACT

In order to be able to identify ways to mitigate any potential impact it is essential that we know what those potential impacts might be. Using the evidence gathered in section 2, explain what the potential impact of your proposal might be on the groups you have identified.
You may wish to further supplement the evidence you have gathered using the table below in order to properly consider the impact.

| Protected Group |  | Positive impact? |  |  | Negative impact? If so, please specify the nature and extent of that impact | No specific impact | If the impact is negative, how can it be mitigated? Please specify any mitigation measures and how and when they will be implemented | What, if any, are the cumulative effects of this decision when viewed in the context of other Council decisions and their equality impacts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \mathbf{0} \\ & \hline \mathbf{0} \\ & \hline \mathbf{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Gender | Men | X | X | X | Access to and benefit from early childhood services including early education and childcare (family and children) |  | 1. Work with the wider network of service providers including the private, voluntary and independent early years sector to encourage and enable alternative provision as required. <br> 2. Ensure any new model agreed effectively targets vulnerable children and families, including those with protected characteristics to enable access to services. <br> 3. Work with external providers to support and enable inclusion. | The effect of any decision of implement any of the current options, would need to be considered within the wider context of other council decisions to related services. |


|  | Women | x | x | x | As above | As above | As above |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race | White | x | X | x | As above | As above | As above |
|  | Mixed/Multi ple ethnic groups | x | x | x | As above | As above | As above |
|  | Asian/Asian British | x | x | x | As above | As above | As above |
|  | Black/Africa n/Caribbean / Black British | X | X | x | As above | As above | As above |
|  | Gypsies / travellers | x | x | x | As above | As above | As above |
|  | Other ethnic group | x | X | x | As above | As above | As above |
| Disability | Physical | x | x | x | As above | As above | As above |
|  | Sensory | X | x | X | As above | As above | As above |
|  | Learning Difficulties | X | x | X | As above | As above | As above |
|  | Learning Disabilities | X | x | X | As above | As above | As above |
|  | Mental Health | X | x | X | As above | As above | As above |


| Protected Group |  | Positive impact? |  |  | Negative impact? | No specific impact | What will the impact be? If the impact is negative, how can it be mitigated? (action) | What are the cumulative of effects |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sexual Orientatio n | Lesbian, gay men, bisexual | X | X | X | As above |  | As above | As above |
| Age | Older people (50+) | X | X | X | As above |  | As above | As above |
|  | Younger people (1625) | X | X | X | As above |  | As above | As above |
| Gender Reassignment |  | x | x | X | As above |  | As above | As above |
| Impact due to pregnancy/maternity |  | X | X | X | As above |  | As above | As above |
| Groups with particular faiths and beliefs |  | X | X | X | As above |  | As above | As above |
| People on low incomes |  | x | x | X | As above |  | As above | As above |

## SECTION 4: ACTION PLAN

| 4.1 | Complete the action plan if you need to reduce or remove the negative impacts you have identified, take steps to foster good relations or fill data gaps. <br> Please include the action required by your team/unit, groups affected, the intended outcome of your action, resources needed, a lead person responsible for undertaking the action (inc. their department and contact details), the completion date for the action, and the relevant RAG rating: $R(e d)$ - action not initiated, $A(m b e r)$ - action initiated and in progress, $G(r e e n)$ - action complete. <br> NB. Add any additional rows, if required. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Action Required | Equality Groups Targeted | Intended outcome | Resources Needed | Name of Lead, Unit \& Contact Details | Completion Date (DD/MM/YY ) | RAG |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Enter additional rows if required |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SIGNATURE:
FULL NAME: Neil Hoskinson

UNIT:

EMAIL \& TELEPHONE EXT:
DATE (DD/MM/YYYY): 20 October 2023

## WHAT NEXT?

It is the responsibility of the service to complete an EIA to the required standard and the quality and completeness of EIAs will be monitored by Strategic Finance Board.

All EIAs for proposed changes to levels of service arising from budget proposals must be completed by (insert date).

All completed EIAs should be sent to TO BE INSERTED


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Disability discrimination is different from other types of discrimination since it includes the duty to make reasonable adjustments.

